

To: "Heather Zicha" (b) (6)
From: CN=Gina McCarthy/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Fri 1/4/2013 2:33:52 AM
Subject: Fw: Fwd: DO NOT USE E-15 GAS
<http://video.foxbusiness.com/v/2000862202001/>

Fyi. Nasty stuff.

From: Lisa Jackson (b) (6)
Sent: 01/03/2013 09:15 PM EST
To: Gina McCarthy
Subject: Fwd: DO NOT USE E-15 GAS

From my aunt. Seems to be spreading. Might want to alert RFA or others.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

Date: January 3, 2013, 8:33:06 PM EST
To:
Subject: Fw: Fw: DO NOT USE E-15 GAS
What's up with this???

--- On Wed, 1/2/13, Jules and Lorraine Galbreth wrote

Subject: Fw: Fw: DO NOT USE E-15 GAS
To:
Date: Wednesday, January 2, 2013, 4:05 PM

Subject: Re: Fw: DO NOT USE E-15 GAS

-Subj: DO NOT USE E-15 GAS

Maybe you have heard this but I had not.

DO NOT USE E-15 GAS....Listen to the video below.....

Watch this video from Fox Business...if your CAR IS OLDER THAN 2012 you need to AVOID THE NEW E15 GAS that is just starting to show up at gas stations. Most car companies will not honor the warranty on your car if you use this new gas.

<http://video.foxbusiness.com/v/2000862202001/>

Please pass this on.

To: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Cc: []
Bcc: []
From: CN=Gina McCarthy/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Wed 1/2/2013 11:36:08 PM
Subject: Re: NGS

Happy 2013 to you too.

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
To: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 01/02/2013 06:34 PM
Subject: Re: NGS

OK. I will connect w you tomorrow too! Happy 2013.

From: McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 02, 2013 06:21 PM
To: Zichal, Heather R.
Subject: Re: NGS

Great. Just saw the Administrator's email. Not sure but I assume that's about the interagency agreement.

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Sent: 01/02/2013 10:58 PM GMT
To: Gina McCarthy
Subject: Re: NGS

How about 10am tomorrow?

From: McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 02, 2013 11:21 AM
To: Zichal, Heather R.
Subject: NGS

Heather - Do you have time for a 5 minute call with me on NGS? If so, let me know when and I can watch for your call. Anytime this week would be fine if that's possible.

To: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA[]
From: "Zichal, Heather R."
Sent: Thur 1/3/2013 10:53:52 PM
Subject: Fw: Edits to News Brief
~1268020.docx
Johnson.Alisha@epamail.epa.gov
[graycol.gif](#)

You are good here yes?

From: Stevens, Clark (b) (6)
Sent: Thursday, January 03, 2013 05:04 PM
To: Zichal, Heather R.
Subject: FW: Edits to News Brief

So, this doesn't sound like its in line with what you said earlier.

-----Original Message-----

From: Johnson.Alisha@epamail.epa.gov [Johnson.Alisha@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 03, 2013 05:02 PM Eastern Standard Time
To: Androff, Blake J (b) (6); Kelly, Kate P; (b) (6)
Delp.Robert@epamail.epa.gov; William.Gibbons@; Stevens, Clark
Cc: O'Hara.James@epamail.epa.gov; Rivas-Vazquez.Victoria@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Re: Edits to News Brief

All,
I understand the Administrator has signed the joint agreement, so it looks like we're moving ahead for tomorrow. Any additional edits/concerns with the attached news brief?

Thanks,
Alisha

Alisha Johnson
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
202.564.4373(direct)
(b) (6) (cell)
johnson.alisha@epa.gov

Alisha Johnson--01/03/2013 02:01:24 PM---All, Here is the slightly edited news brief, based on changes from our policy folks. Also, here is t

From: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US
To: "Kelly, Kate P" (b) (6); "Androff, Blake J" (b) (6)
<Blake_Androff@epa.gov> William.Gibbons@epa.gov (b) (6) <William.Gibbons@epa.gov> (b) (6)
<keri.fulton@epa.gov> (b) (6)
Cc: Robert Delp/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 01/03/2013 02:01 PM
Subject: Edits to News Brief

All,

Here is the slightly edited news brief, based on changes from our policy folks. Also, here is the BART Q&A and holding statement:

EPA's Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) proposal for Navajo Generating Station is part of a rulemaking process entirely separate from the Joint Statement being issued by the three agencies today. However, today's agreement commits the three agencies to "work together with stakeholders to identify and implement actions that support implementation of any future BART requirements at NGS in a way that reduces emissions while supporting" the clean energy, water resources, and sustainable economic development goals described in the joint statement.

EPA anticipates releasing the BART proposal for public comment soon.

(See attached file: ~1268020.docx)

To: "Heather Zichal" (b) (6); David_Hayes (b) (6)
[David_Hayes (b) (6)]
Cc: "Letty Belin" (b) (6); Letty_Belin (b) (6); Kate_Kelly (b) (6)
[Kate_Kelly (b) (6)]; blake_androff (b) (6)
From: CN=Gina McCarthy/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Fri 1/4/2013 12:00:28 AM
Subject: Re: NGS
David_Hayes (b) (6)

Folks are working hard to finalize coms material. When that is done, we will be good to go. Thanks.

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Sent: 01/03/2013 11:02 PM GMT
To: "David_Hayes (b) (6)" Gina McCarthy
Cc: "Letty_Belin (b) (6)" Kate_Kelly (b) (6)
<Kate_Kelly (b) (6)> blake_androff (b) (6)
Subject: Re: NGS

As long as Gina/EPA are good w joint release I am good.

From: Hayes, David (b) (6)
Sent: Thursday, January 03, 2013 05:55 PM
To: Zichal, Heather R.; Regina A. "Gina" McCarthy <mccarthy.gina@epa.gov>
Cc: Letty Belin (b) (6); Kate Kelly (b) (6); Blake Androff
(b) (6)
Subject: NGS

Just confirming our convo with Gina/Janet that we are all agreed to go tomorrow with low-key press release for NGS/MOU.

Thanks to all!

--David

--
David J. Hayes
Deputy Secretary
U.S. Department of the Interior
(b) (6)

To: "Heather Zicha" (b) (6)
From: CN=Gina McCarthy/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Wed 2/6/2013 3:06:27 AM
Subject: Ghg nsps

We have another approach I wanted you to be aware of. We feel pretty confident that we can finalize the new source standard and propose a modification to set up 111d discussions in June. We are going to brief Bob P tomorrow but I wanted to make sure to get it on your radar screen in case it might impact SOTU or EO messaging. I think it could work well and is pretty sound. Wouldn't want to say anything that would preclude it from consideration.

To: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA[]
From: "Zichal, Heather R."
Sent: Tue 1/15/2013 11:21:35 PM
Subject: RE: You connect w nancy?

OK. I wouldn't worry - - she is totally buried and everything is 100% solid on this end. I'm good - - really looking forward to Monday!

-----Original Message-----

From: McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 15, 2013 3:52 PM
To: Zichal, Heather R.
Subject: Re: You connect w nancy?

I am great. In Ann Arbor looking at our upgraded lab and all the new vehicle technologies. I haven't heard from Nancy yet. You doing ok? Getting ready for the weekend festivities I hope?

----- Original Message -----

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Sent: 01/15/2013 08:20 PM GMT
To: Gina McCarthy
Subject: You connect w nancy?

How you be?

To: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA[]
From: "Zichal, Heather R."
Sent: Sat 1/19/2013 2:37:59 PM
Subject: Re: Will I see u sun night?

I will. Wait until you hear the inaugural and what potus says about climate.

From: McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, January 18, 2013 04:36 PM
To: Zichal, Heather R.
Subject: Re: Will I see u sun night?

Please enjoy the weekend. You deserve to celebrate.

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Sent: 01/18/2013 09:26 PM GMT
To: Gina McCarthy
Subject: Re: Will I see u sun night?

Okey doke!

From: McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, January 18, 2013 04:05 PM
To: Zichal, Heather R.
Subject: Re: Will I see u sun night?

You will see me Monday night.

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
To: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 01/18/2013 03:32 PM
Subject: Will I see u sun night?

To: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA[]
From: "Zichal, Heather R."
Sent: Fri 1/25/2013 5:17:50 PM
Subject: RE: you doing?
Heather R. Zichal (b) (6)

Yes! Sounds great.

From: McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 24, 2013 9:12 PM
To: Zichal, Heather R.
Subject: Re: you doing?

Thanks for checking in. Doing ok. Just finished my paperwork. Maybe see you tomorrow with Bob P.

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
To: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 01/24/2013 05:18 PM
Subject: you doing?

Just checking in to say hi.

To: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA[]
From: "Zichal, Heather R."
Sent: Thur 1/31/2013 11:30:12 PM
Subject: RE: Tonight still good?

Yay! See you at seven.

-----Original Message-----

From: McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 31, 2013 6:14 PM
To: Zichal, Heather R.
Subject: Re: Tonight still good?

Sure am.

----- Original Message -----

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Sent: 01/31/2013 10:57 PM GMT
To: Gina McCarthy
Subject: Tonight still good?

To: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Cc: CN=Gina McCarthy/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
From: CN=Bob Perciasepe/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Mon 2/11/2013 6:10:52 PM
Subject: RE: Wednesday

Great thanks

Bob Perciasepe
Deputy Administrator

(o) +1 202 564 4711
(c) (b) (6)

-----"Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)

=====
To: Bob Perciasepe/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Date: 02/11/2013 01:05PM
Cc: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Subject: RE: Wednesday
=====

Yes. Was going to reach out to talk this through. Given the ever evolving text...I think we should plan to chat tomorrow AM so I have the best chance of giving you background info that actually sticks. I'll have Roque reach out to your teams. Thanks! Hope you had a good weekend.

From: Perciasepe.Bob@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Perciasepe.Bob@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, February 11, 2013 12:17 PM
To: Zichal, Heather R.
Cc: McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Wednesday

Hi Heather:

I would like to set a time for you, me and Gina to chat later today or tomorrow, so we can have our story straight for Wednesday after SOTU.

OK?

Bob Perciasepe
Deputy Administrator

(o) +1 202 564 4711
(c) (b) (6)

To: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Perciasepe/DC/USEPA/US@EPA;Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA[]; ina
McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA[]
Cc: Teri Porterfield/DC/USEPA/US@EPA;Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US@EPA[]; indy
Huang/DC/USEPA/US@EPA[]
From: "Sanchez, Roque"
Sent: Mon 2/11/2013 11:20:32 PM
Subject: Rescheduled: Coordination Call (Feb 12 01:00 PM EST in Conference line: (b) (6)
(b) (6) Pass: (b) (6)

Call to coordinate post-SOTU message.

Manifest:
Zichal
Perciasepe
McCarthy

To: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6) =Gina
McCarthy/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA]
From: CN=Bob Perciasepe/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Fri 2/15/2013 4:52:21 PM
Subject: Re: PNM
Heather R. Zichal (b) (6)
perciasepe.bob@epa.gov
McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov
<mailto:McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov>

Triple yay.

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Sent: 02/15/2013 03:49 PM GMT
To: Bob Perciasepe; Gina McCarthy
Cc: Bob Perciasepe
Subject: RE: PNM

And yay the fact I am leaving for vacation in a few hours!

From: Perciasepe.Bob@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Perciasepe.Bob@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 10:49 AM
To: McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov
Cc: Zichal, Heather R.; Bob Perciasepe
Subject: Re: PNM

Yay all around, including our new RA who is from New Mexico !!!!!

Bob Perciasepe
Deputy Administrator

(o) +1 202 564 4711
(c) (b) (6)

From: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US
To: "Heather Zichal" (b) (6) "Bob Perciasepe"
<perciasepe.bob@epa.gov>

Date: 02/15/2013 10:45 AM
Subject: Re: PNM

Janet is. I take the credit.

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Sent: 02/15/2013 03:03 PM GMT
To: Gina McCarthy; Bob Perciasepe
Subject: RE: PNM

That is terrific news! You are a miracleworker.

From: McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 10:03 AM
To: Bob Perciasepe; Zichal, Heather R.
Subject: PNM

The agreement on terms for a proposed SIP to replace the current regional Haze FiP in New Mexico is being announced today. It should be all good.

To: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Cc: CN=Gina McCarthy/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
From: CN=Bob Perciasepe/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Fri 2/15/2013 8:37:31 PM
Subject: Re: me

Roger

we are working the stuff.

Bob Perciasepe
Deputy Administrator

(o) +1 202 564 4711

(c) (b) (6)

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
To: Bob Perciasepe/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/15/2013 03:29 PM
Subject: me

I'm leaving and will be out of pocket until 2/25. Dan's in charge of the climate - - just holler if you need him for anything!

To: Richard Windsor/DC/USEPA/US@EPA[]
Cc: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA; Bob Perciasepe/DC/USEPA/US@EPA[]; ob Perciasepe/DC/USEPA/US@EPA[]
From: "Zichal, Heather R."
Sent: Wed 1/2/2013 11:19:58 PM
Subject: Re: Quick call?

Great. Will do!

From: Windsor.Richard@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Windsor.Richard@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 02, 2013 06:06 PM
To: Zichal, Heather R.
Cc: McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov <McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov>; Perciasepe.Bob@epamail.epa.gov <Perciasepe.Bob@epamail.epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Quick call?

Any time. I am not in office til after noon. I have to get my car serviced so you can try my cell phone at any time you are free. No huge rush on my end.

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Sent: 01/02/2013 10:59 PM GMT
To: Richard Windsor
Cc: Gina McCarthy; Bob Perciasepe
Subject: Re: Quick call?

Sorry - just getting off a plane. How about tomorrow morning? Just say when and I will make it work.

From: Windsor.Richard@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Windsor.Richard@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 02, 2013 04:58 PM
To: Zichal, Heather R.
Cc: Gina McCarthy <mccarthy.gina@epa.gov>; Bob Perciasepe <perciasepe.bob@epa.gov>
Subject: Quick call?

Hi Heather,

Checking to see if you are around so we can talk to you about timing of upcoming decisions on a matter of importance to the Navajo. Hope you had great holidays. Lisa

To: "Heather Zichal" (b) (6)
From: CN=Gina McCarthy/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Thur 1/3/2013 3:55:27 PM
Subject: Call whenever

To: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA[]
From: "Zichal, Heather R."
Sent: Thur 1/3/2013 10:53:52 PM
Subject: Fw: Edits to News Brief
~1268020.docx
Johnson.Alisha@epamail.epa.gov
[graycol.gif](#)

You are good here yes?

From: Stevens, Clark (b) (6)
Sent: Thursday, January 03, 2013 05:04 PM
To: Zichal, Heather R.
Subject: FW: Edits to News Brief

So, this doesn't sound like its in line with what you said earlier.

-----Original Message-----

From: Johnson.Alisha@epamail.epa.gov [Johnson.Alisha@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 03, 2013 05:02 PM Eastern Standard Time
To: Androff, Blake J (b) (6); Kelly, Kate P; kerif.fulton (b) (6)
Delp.Robert@epamail.epa.gov; William.Gibbons@; Stevens, Clark
Cc: O'Hara.James@epamail.epa.gov; Rivas-Vazquez.Victoria@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Re: Edits to News Brief

All,

I understand the Administrator has signed the joint agreement, so it looks like we're moving ahead for tomorrow. Any additional edits/concerns with the attached news brief?

Thanks,
Alisha

Alisha Johnson
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
202.564.4373(direct)
(b) (6) cell)
johnson.alisha@epa.gov

Alisha Johnson--01/03/2013 02:01:24 PM--All, Here is the slightly edited news brief, based on changes from our policy folks. Also, here is t

From: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US
To: "Kelly, Kate P" (b) (6); "Androff, Blake J" (b) (6)
<Blake_Androff (b) (6)>; William.Gibbons (b) (6); William.Gibbons (b) (6)
<kerif.fulton (b) (6)>
Cc: Robert Delp/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 01/03/2013 02:01 PM
Subject: Edits to News Brief

All,

Here is the slightly edited news brief, based on changes from our policy folks. Also, here is the BART Q&A and holding statement:

EPA's Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) proposal for Navajo Generating Station is part of a rulemaking process entirely separate from the Joint Statement being issued by the three agencies today. However, today's agreement commits the three agencies to "work together with stakeholders to identify and implement actions that support implementation of any future BART requirements at NGS in a way that reduces emissions while supporting" the clean energy, water resources, and sustainable economic development goals described in the joint statement.

EPA anticipates releasing the BART proposal for public comment soon.

(See attached file: ~1268020.docx)

To: Heather Zichal (b) (6) Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA[]
Cc: Letty Belin (b) (6) [Kate_Kelly (b) (6)]ake
Androff [blake_androff (b) (6)]
From: "Hayes, David"
Sent: Thur 1/3/2013 10:55:16 PM
Subject: NGS
David_Hayes@ (b) (6)

Just confirming our convo with Gina/Janet that we are all agreed to go tomorrow with low-key press release for NGS/MOU.

Thanks to all!

--David

--

David J. Hayes
Deputy Secretary
U.S. Department of the Interior
(b) (6)

To: ""David_Hayes(b) (6) ina
McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA]
Cc: ""Letty_Belin(b) (6) 'Kate_Kelly(b) (6)
(b) (6) blake_androff(b) (6)
From: "Zichal, Heather R."
Sent: Thur 1/3/2013 11:02:46 PM
Subject: Re: NGS
David_Hayes(b) (6)

As long as Gina/EPA are good w joint release I am good.

From: Hayes, David (b) (6)
Sent: Thursday, January 03, 2013 05:55 PM
To: Zichal, Heather R.; Regina A. "Gina" McCarthy <mccarthy.gina@epa.gov>
Cc: Letty Belin (b) (6); Kate Kelly (b) (6); Blake Androff
(b) (6)
Subject: NGS

Just confirming our convo with Gina/Janet that we are all agreed to go tomorrow with low-key press release for NGS/MOU.

Thanks to all!

--David

--
David J. Hayes
Deputy Secretary
U.S. Department of the Interior
(b) (6)

To: "Heather Zichal" (b) (6)
From: CN=Gina McCarthy/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Thur 1/3/2013 11:03:08 PM
Subject: Re: Edits to News Brief
Johnson.Alisha@epamail.epa.gov
[graycol.gif](#)

Yes

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Sent: 01/03/2013 10:53 PM GMT
To: Gina McCarthy
Subject: Fw: Edits to News Brief

You are good here yes?

From: Stevens, Clark (b) (6)
Sent: Thursday, January 03, 2013 05:04 PM
To: Zichal, Heather R.
Subject: FW: Edits to News Brief

So, this doesn't sound like its in line with what you said earlier.

-----Original Message-----

From: Johnson.Alisha@epamail.epa.gov [Johnson.Alisha@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 03, 2013 05:02 PM Eastern Standard Time
To: Androff, Blake J (b) (6); Kelly, Kate P; kerifulton (b) (6)
Delp.Robert@epamail.epa.gov; William.Gibbons@; Stevens, Clark
Cc: O'Hara.James@epamail.epa.gov; Rivas-Vazquez.Victoria@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Re: Edits to News Brief

All,

I understand the Administrator has signed the joint agreement, so it looks like we're moving ahead for tomorrow. Any additional edits/concerns with the attached news brief?

Thanks,
Alisha

Alisha Johnson
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
202.564.4373(direct)
(b) (6) (cell)
johnson.alisha@epa.gov

Alisha Johnson---01/03/2013 02:01:24 PM---All, Here is the slightly edited news brief, based on changes

from our policy folks. Also, here is t

From: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US

To: "Kelly, Kate P" (b) (6) "Androff, Blake J" (b) (6)

<Blake_Androff@epa.gov>, William.Gibbons (b) (6)

<keri.fulton@epa.gov> (b) (6)

Cc: Robert Delp/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 01/03/2013 02:01 PM

Subject: Edits to News Brief

All,

Here is the slightly edited news brief, based on changes from our policy folks. Also, here is the BART Q&A and holding statement:

EPA's Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) proposal for Navajo Generating Station is part of a rulemaking process entirely separate from the Joint Statement being issued by the three agencies today. However, today's agreement commits the three agencies to "work together with stakeholders to identify and implement actions that support implementation of any future BART requirements at NGS in a way that reduces emissions while supporting" the clean energy, water resources, and sustainable economic development goals described in the joint statement.

EPA anticipates releasing the BART proposal for public comment soon.

(See attached file: ~1268020.docx)

To: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Heather Zichal (b) (6) Letty_Belin@ (b) (6)
(b) (6) Kate_Kelly@ (b) (6)
blake_androff@ (b) (6)
From: "Hayes, David"
Sent: Fri 1/4/2013 12:54:19 AM
Subject: Re: NGS

(b) (6)

Thanks, Gina.

On Thu, Jan 3, 2013 at 7:00 PM, <McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov> wrote:

Folks are working hard to finalize coms material. When that is done, we will be good to go. Thanks.

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Sent: 01/03/2013 11:02 PM GMT
To: "'David_Hayes@ (b) (6) Gina McCarthy
Cc: "'Letty_Belin@ (b) (6) "'Kate_Kelly@ (b) (6)
<Kate_Kelly@ (b) (6) "'blake_androff@ (b) (6)
Subject: Re: NGS

As long as Gina/EPA are good w joint release I am good.

From: Hayes, David (b) (6)
Sent: Thursday, January 03, 2013 05:55 PM
To: Zichal, Heather R.; Regina A. "Gina" McCarthy <mccarthy.gina@epa.gov>
Cc: Letty Belin (b) (6) Kate Kelly (b) (6) Blake Androff
(b) (6)
Subject: NGS

Just confirming our convo with Gina/Janet that we are all agreed to go tomorrow with low-key press release for NGS/MOU.

Thanks to all!

--David

--

David J. Hayes
Deputy Secretary
U.S. Department of the Interior
(b) (6)

--

David J. Hayes
Deputy Secretary
U.S. Department of the Interior
(b) (6)

To: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA[]
From: "Zichal, Heather R."
Sent: Sat 1/5/2013 7:21:33 PM
Subject: Re: Which one is this?

Thanks

----- Original Message -----

From: McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Saturday, January 05, 2013 02:08 PM
To: Zichal, Heather R.
Subject: Re: Which one is this?

Yes

----- Original Message -----

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Sent: 01/05/2013 05:26 PM GMT
To: Gina McCarthy; Bob Perciasepe
Cc: Janet McCabe
Subject: Re: Which one is this?

Pm2.5?

----- Original Message -----

From: McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Saturday, January 05, 2013 08:24 AM
To: Zichal, Heather R.; Perciasepe.Bob@epamail.epa.gov <Perciasepe.Bob@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: McCabe.Janet@epamail.epa.gov <McCabe.Janet@epamail.epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Which one is this?

Its about implementation rules from the 97 and 2006 standards. It has implications for how we frame an implementaion rule for the new PM standard that we haven't even drafted yet - but that's quite a ways off and we have to look more closely at the decision. Folks are mtg on Monday to see just what it might mean for the old non-attainment areas but many are in attainment so not sure it means anything.

----- Original Message -----

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Sent: 01/05/2013 05:41 AM GMT
To: Bob Perciasepe; Gina McCarthy
Subject: Which one is this?

N=HOME&TEMPLATE=DEFAULT

Jan 4, 4:15 PM EST

Court faults EPA for Bush-era soot regulations

By FREDERIC J. FROMMER

Associated Press

WASHINGTON (AP) -- An appeals court is siding with environmental groups that had challenged Environmental Protection Agency regulations on soot as too weak.

The three-judge panel ruled Friday that the EPA regulated soot of a certain size under weaker cleanup requirements than it should have.

The environmental groups, including the Natural Resources Defense Council, had challenged two rules dating back to the George W. Bush administration. The court sent the rules back to the EPA with instructions to strengthen them.

Soot, or fine particulate matter, is microscopic pollution released from smokestacks, diesel trucks and other sources. Breathing it can cause lung and heart problems, contributing to heart attacks, strokes and asthma attacks.

Two of the three judges were appointed by Republican presidents, the third by a Democrat.

To: "Heather Zichal" (b) (6)
From: CN=Gina McCarthy/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Sat 1/19/2013 2:57:56 PM
Subject: Re: Will I see u sun night?

Can't wait.

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Sent: 01/19/2013 02:37 PM GMT
To: Gina McCarthy
Subject: Re: Will I see u sun night?

I will. Wait until you hear the inaugural and what potus says about climate.

From: McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, January 18, 2013 04:36 PM
To: Zichal, Heather R.
Subject: Re: Will I see u sun night?

Please enjoy the weekend. You deserve to celebrate.

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Sent: 01/18/2013 09:26 PM GMT
To: Gina McCarthy
Subject: Re: Will I see u sun night?

Okey doke!

From: McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, January 18, 2013 04:05 PM
To: Zichal, Heather R.
Subject: Re: Will I see u sun night?

You will see me Monday night.

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
To: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 01/18/2013 03:32 PM
Subject: Will I see u sun night?

To: "Heather Zichal" (b) (6)
From: CN=Gina McCarthy/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Tue 1/29/2013 3:13:55 PM
Subject: Re: Thanks
McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov
<mailto:McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov>

7 is great. How about the same place we went before and we can hopefully behave ourselves this time.
Or not....

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Sent: 01/29/2013 03:11 PM GMT
To: Gina McCarthy
Subject: RE: Thanks

Woot! How about 7pm? You name the place.

From: McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 10:11 PM
To: Zichal, Heather R.
Subject: Re: Thanks

After work on Thursday would work great. I can make other times work as well if they are best for you.

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Sent: 01/29/2013 12:10 AM GMT
To: Gina McCarthy
Subject: RE: Thanks

Totally understand – not to worry. I just have a few, big picture issues from the deck to talk through. I could do after work on Thurs or Fri (or lunch either of those days). Any of that work? It's not hugely time sensitive so if we need to push to next week, that's fine.

From: McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, January 25, 2013 4:49 PM
To: Zichal, Heather R.
Subject: Re: Thanks

Absolutely. I apologize for the confusion. We have a good briefing but not agreement internally so I couldn't share. Ugh..... I am in Phoenix on Monday and NY Tuesday. Any day after that. Happy to talk over the weekend as well.

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Sent: 01/25/2013 09:32 PM GMT
To: Gina McCarthy
Subject: Thanks

Apologies I was so late - - got stuck in WW. The briefing was very helpful. But I have some offline feedback I would love to share and sort through with you. Available for a drink or coffee next week?

Have a good weekend.

To: Richard Windsor/DC/USEPA/US@EPA[]
Cc: Bob Perciasepe/DC/USEPA/US@EPA; Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA; James O'Hara/DC/USEPA/US@EPA[]; ina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA; James O'Hara/DC/USEPA/US@EPA[]; ames O'Hara/DC/USEPA/US@EPA[]
From: "Zichal, Heather R."
Sent: Thur 1/3/2013 10:18:25 PM
Subject: Re: I'm being asked to sign off on NGS for tomorrow

OK. Thank you. Will make sure that happens.

From: Windsor.Richard@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Windsor.Richard@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 03, 2013 04:45 PM
To: Zichal, Heather R.
Cc: Perciasepe.Bob@epamail.epa.gov <Perciasepe.Bob@epamail.epa.gov>; Gina McCarthy <mccarthy.gina@epa.gov>; Jim O'Hara <O'Hara.James@epamail.epa.gov>
Subject: Re: I'm being asked to sign off on NGS for tomorrow

We are OK with going tomorrow as long as the press statement is a joint one. This is not a DOI lead. Tx.

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Sent: 01/03/2013 07:37 PM GMT
To: Richard Windsor
Cc: Gina McCarthy; Bob Perciasepe
Subject: Re: I'm being asked to sign off on NGS for tomorrow

Totally fine. I just didn't know if something had changed since our conversation this am. As it made its way to me through other channels folks thought everyone is on board. Now that I have confirmed that's not accurate I will put it on hold until I hear from you.

From: Windsor.Richard@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Windsor.Richard@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 03, 2013 02:18 PM
To: Zichal, Heather R.
Cc: Gina McCarthy <mccarthy.gina@epa.gov>; Bob Perciasepe <perciasepe.bob@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: I'm being asked to sign off on NGS for tomorrow

No. Please hold. I am not signing it til I'm ready. Why tomorrow?

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Sent: 01/03/2013 06:42 PM GMT
To: Richard Windsor
Subject: I'm being asked to sign off on NGS for tomorrow

I am holding. Do you have resolution on your end?

To: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA[]
From: "Zichal, Heather R."
Sent: Tue 1/29/2013 4:51:23 PM
Subject: RE: Thanks
Senart's Oyster & Chop House - Barracks Row - Washington, DC
McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov
mailto:McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov
McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov
mailto:McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov

J I'm in!

Senart's Oyster & Chop House - Barracks Row - Washington, DC

520 8th St SE Washington, DC 20003
(202) 544-1168

From: McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2013 10:14 AM
To: Zichal, Heather R.
Subject: Re: Thanks

7 is great. How about the same place we went before and we can hopefully behave ourselves this time.
Or not....

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Sent: 01/29/2013 03:11 PM GMT
To: Gina McCarthy
Subject: RE: Thanks

Woot! How about 7pm? You name the place.

From: McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 10:11 PM
To: Zichal, Heather R.
Subject: Re: Thanks

After work on Thursday would work great. I can make other times work as well if they are best for you.

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Sent: 01/29/2013 12:10 AM GMT
To: Gina McCarthy
Subject: RE: Thanks

Totally understand – not to worry. I just have a few, big picture issues from the deck to talk through. I could do after work on Thurs or Fri (or lunch either of those days). Any of that work? It's not hugely time sensitive so if we need to push to next week, that's fine.

From: McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, January 25, 2013 4:49 PM
To: Zichal, Heather R.
Subject: Re: Thanks

Absolutely. I apologize for the confusion. We have a good briefing but not agreement internally so I couldn't share. Ugh..... I am in Phoenix on Monday and NY Tuesday. Any day after that. Happy to talk over the weekend as well.

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Sent: 01/25/2013 09:32 PM GMT
To: Gina McCarthy
Subject: Thanks

Apologies I was so late - - got stuck in WW. The briefing was very helpful. But I have some offline feedback I would love to share and sort through with you. Available for a drink or coffee next week?

Have a good weekend.

To: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA[]
From: "Zichal, Heather R."
Sent: Fri 2/1/2013 7:43:06 PM
Subject: Re: Great to see you last night!

So helpful! Thanks so much.

Have a great weekend.

From: McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, February 01, 2013 01:46 PM
To: Zichal, Heather R.
Subject: Re: Great to see you last night!

Heather - Here is the scoop on the cellulosic numbers. Let me know if you need more.

The court decision re: the 2012 cellulosic standard held that EPA improperly considered congressional intent to promote cellulosic biofuels in setting the cellulosic number, rather than basing it on a factual projection. The court upheld EPA's authority to deviate from EIA's projections, while giving EIA's projection great respect.

For 2013, we did not base the cellulosic number on any view of tilting on the side of promoting growth in cellulosic biofuels (the approach the court rejected in the 2012 standard). Rather, we based the proposed 2013 number exclusively on our factual projections of what will actually be produced in 2013. EPA's 2013 cellulosic number is 14 million gallons ethanol equivalent, very similar to EIA's projection of 13.1 million gallons ethanol equivalent. (In 2012 by comparison, EIA's projection was 6.9 million gallons and EPA set a number of 8.7.)

While the proposed cellulosic number for 2013 is higher than the number for 2012, this is because facilities are now entering production. Because we are already in the first quarter of 2013, we have much better information about expected actual production. Again, our number is very similar to EIA's projection and is based on the same facilities.

One final point to emphasize is that this is a proposal. We are putting this out for notice and comment and of course will consider comments that we receive in finalizing the rule.

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
To: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/01/2013 12:18 PM
Subject: Great to see you last night!

I had so much fun. And I'm so, so happy for you.

Annoying: is there someone on your team I can work with to get an answer back to API re the biofuels rules. This is was their question: Heather- I'm confused. The court decision followed by an even higher number? This only adds to the angst for repeal. We'll reach out to Mike to try and facilitate a conversation but I assume there is some rationale for this approach?

To: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA[]
From: "Zichal, Heather R."
Sent: Thur 2/7/2013 4:35:40 AM
Subject: Everything a-ok

Between us, I think the socialization of this decision will begin w BP tomorrow. More to come.

Timing-wise we are still about 10 days out or so.

To: "Heather Zicha" (b) (6)
From: CN=Gina McCarthy/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Tue 1/22/2013 12:53:21 PM
Subject: Climate

The focus on climate in the speech yesterday was awesome. Thank you for making that happen.

To: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
Cc: []
Bcc: []
From: CN=Gina McCarthy/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Fri 2/15/2013 7:55:08 PM
Subject: RE: Eeob

Thanks . Glad to hear the utility reviews. They are actually stepping up and doing what we had hoped. And I think they know that EPA is really looking to help and wont punish them for things beyond their control. Enjoy the trip.

From: "Zichal, Heather R." (b) (6)
To: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/15/2013 01:59 PM
Subject: RE: Eeob

Spoke with RD. Nothing is going to move until we have a chance to sit down and talk it through, as you suggested.

On a side note, I have heard from a number of the utility folks about your most recent meeting with them - - RAVE reviews.

From: McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 10:50 AM
To: Zichal, Heather R.
Subject: Eeob

I am in the bldg. Are u? If so, can I catch 10 minutes?

To: "Tom Buis" (b) (6)
From: CN=Gina McCarthy/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Tue 1/15/2013 6:18:51 PM
Subject: Re: Fwd: U.S. Court of Appeals Denies Petitions in Cases Challenging E15
mfrohlich@growthenergy.org
mfrohlich@growthenergy.org
png@01CDF31C.5C13AE80
MFrohlich@growthenergy.org
www.GrowthEnergy.org
<http://www.growthenergy.org/>
<https://twitter.com/growthenergy>
<https://www.facebook.com/pages/Growth-Energy/122421550289>
<http://www.twitter.com/growthenergy>
[growthenergy.org/facebook](http://www.growthenergy.org/facebook)
<http://www.growthenergy.org/facebook>
www.growthenergy.org
<http://www.growthenergy.org/>
jpg@01CDF319.3B4BFCA0

yahoo!

From: "Tom Buis" (b) (6)
Sent: 01/15/2013 10:01 AM PST
To: Gina McCarthy; Christopher Grundler
Subject: Fwd: U.S. Court of Appeals Denies Petitions in Cases Challenging E15

Big win for e15

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Michael Frohlich" (b) (6)
To: "Michael Frohlich" (b) (6)
Subject: U.S. Court of Appeals Denies Petitions in Cases Challenging E15

[cid:image002.png@01CDF31C.5C13AE80]

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
January 15, 2013

PRESS CONTACT: Michael Frohlich 202.545.4000
MFrohlich@growthenergy.org

U.S. Court of Appeals Denies Petitions in Cases Challenging E15

WASHINGTON, DC — Today, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit denied petitions for rehearing in the case of the Grocery Manufacturers Association, et al. v. EPA, which challenged the decision by the Environmental Protection Agency to permit the commercial use of E15. Following the announcement, Tom Buis CEO of Growth Energy released the following statement:

"Today's order leaves in place an earlier decision by the Court denying challenges to the Environmental Protection Agency's decision to allow for E15 to enter the U.S. market. Furthermore, this is a major victory for the renewable fuels industry and opens the door for further investment in new fueling technology to offer E15 to consumers.

"Today's result is a win-win for American consumers, providing them with both a choice and savings at the pump, and is a critical step in increasing market access. Not only will E15 help reduce our dependence on foreign oil, it will also continue to create jobs here at home and revitalize rural economies, while also improving our environment by increasing the availability and use of a cleaner burning fuel."

###

About Growth Energy

Growth Energy represents the producers and supporters of ethanol who feed the world and fuel America in ways that achieve energy independence, improve economic well-being and create a healthier environment for all Americans now. For more information, please visit us at www.GrowthEnergy.org<<http://www.growthenergy.org/>>, follow us on Twitter @GrowthEnergy<<https://twitter.com/growthenergy>> or connect with us on Facebook<<https://www.facebook.com/pages/Growth-Energy/122421550289>>.

Michael C. Frohlich | Press Secretary
Growth Energy
777 North Capitol Street, NE - Suite 805
Washington DC 20002

(b) (6)

TWITTER @GrowthEnergy<<http://www.twitter.com/growthenergy>>

FACEBOOK [growthenergy.org/facebook](http://www.growthenergy.org/facebook)<<http://www.growthenergy.org/facebook>>

WEBSITE www.growthenergy.org<<http://www.growthenergy.org/>>

[cid:image001.jpg@01CDF319.3B48FCA0]

Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this e-mail message, including any attachments, is for use by the intended recipient(s) only and contains information that may be legally privileged, confidential, trade secret, proprietary in nature or copyrighted under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient(s), you are hereby formally notified that any use, disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this e-mail transmission, in whole or in part, is strictly prohibited. This e-mail transmission does not constitute a consent to the use of sender's contact information for direct marketing purposes or for transfers of data to third parties. If you are not the intended recipient(s), please promptly notify the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named

image001.jpg

which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers, network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at (866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named image001.jpg which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers, network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at (866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****